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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $L_{0}$ denote the segment $[-1,1]$ and let $f(x)$ be a function continuous on $L_{0}$. The famous theorem of Jackson states that for any integer $n \in \mathbb{N}:=\{1,2, \ldots\}$ there exists a polynomial $p_{n}(x)$ of degree at most $n$ such that for any $x \in L_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f(x)-p_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant c \omega_{f}(1 / n), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{f}$ is the modulus of continuity of $f$ and $c=$ const $>0$.
Many papers are devoted to generalizations of this statement by means of considering $L_{0}$ as a part of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ rather than merely part of the real line.

In particular, Newman [10] raised the question of whether (1.1) remains true when $L_{0}$ and $p_{n}(x)$ are replaced by some other Jordan arc $L \subset \mathbb{C}$ and algebraic polynomial $p_{n}(z)$ of the complex variable $z \in \mathbb{C}$. He proposed to say that a Jordan arc (briefly, arc) has the Jackson property (briefly, has $(J)$ ), if an analogy of (1.1) written for $L$ remains true.

The problem of determining whether or not $L$ has $(J)$ turned out to be difficult. However, on the whole, thanks to the efforts of Newman [10],

Andersson [1], Lesley [7], Mamedhanov [9], Maimeskul [8], and Anderson et al. [2], it seems to be solved.

In this work we suggest to study the same problem concerning a harmonic version of Jackson's theorem, i.e., approximation of a function $f$ on an $\operatorname{arc} L \subset \mathbb{C}$ by harmonic polynomials $t_{n}(z)$ of degree at most $n$.

We shall say that the arc $L$ has the $(J H)$-property if a harmonic version of (1.1) remains true for $L$ (for an exact definition see below).

Arcs possessing $(J)$ and $(J H)$ have a few similar properties. For example, repeating word for word a proof suggested by Newman [10, Theorem 1], one can show that if $L$ has infinite length, then $L$ does not have ( $J H$ ).

Roughly speaking, the main purpose of this work is to show that the properties $(J)$ and $(J H)$ are essentially different. We reach this aim by proving that piecewise smooth arcs without cusps have $(J H)$. At the same time, it is well known that such arcs need not have ( $J$ ).

In reading the proofs in this paper the best example to have in mind is the arc consisting of two line segments meeting at a right angle. Note that in the paper [10] that started the discussion, Newman stated that he could not show that this arc does not have $(J)$. This was only later shown to be the case by Andersson [1].

## 2. MAIN RESULT AND DEFINITIONS

Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a continuum and $\omega(\delta), \delta>0$, be a function of the type of modulus of continuity, i.e., a positive nondecreasing function (with $\omega(+0)=0$ ), satisfying for some $c_{1}=$ const $\geqslant 1$ the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(t \delta) \leqslant c_{1} t \omega(\delta) \quad(\delta>0, t>1) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $C^{\omega}(K)$ the class of all functions $f$ continuous on $K$ for which

$$
\left|f\left(z_{1}\right)-f\left(z_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant \omega\left(\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|\right) \quad\left(z_{1}, z_{2} \in K\right)
$$

If $\omega(\delta)=c_{2} \delta, c_{2}=$ const $>0$, then we shall use for $C^{\omega}(K)$ the notation $H^{1}\left(K, c_{2}\right)$.

The sets of analytic and, respectively, harmonic polynomials of degree at most $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}_{n}:=\left\{p(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}: a_{j} \in \mathbb{C}\right\}, \\
& \mathbb{T}_{n}:=\left\{t(z)=\operatorname{Re} p(z): p \in \mathbb{P}_{n}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $f \in C^{\omega}(K)$ set

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{n}(f, K) & :=\inf _{p \in \mathbb{P}_{n}} \sup _{z \in K}|f(z)-p(z)|, \\
E_{n, \Delta}(f, K) & :=\inf _{t \in T_{n}} \sup _{z \in K}|f(z)-t(z)| .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall say that a Jordan arc $L \subset \mathbb{C}$ has $(J H)$, if for any $\omega$, any realvalued function $f \in C^{\omega}(L)$, and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n, \Delta}(f, L) \leqslant c_{3} \omega(1 / n) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds with some constant $c_{3}>0$ depending only on $L$ and $c_{1}$.
As usual, the Jordan $\operatorname{arc} L$ is of class $C^{2+}$ (briefly, $C^{2+}$-smooth), if it has a parametrization $L=\{w(t): 0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1\}$, where $w$ is two times continuously differentiable and satisfies $w^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$,

$$
\left|w^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-w^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant c_{4}\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{\alpha} \quad\left(0 \leqslant t_{1}<t_{2} \leqslant 1\right)
$$

with some constants $c_{4}>0$ and $0<\alpha<1$. The main result of this paper is the following statement.

Theorem. Any Jordan arc $L$ consisting of a finite number of $C^{2+}$-smooth arcs without cusps has $(\mathrm{JH})$.

In what follows, we shall use the following notations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(z, \delta):=\{\zeta:|\zeta-z|<\delta\}, \quad D:=D(0,1) \\
& d(z, K):=\operatorname{dist}(z, K)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left.f\right|_{K}$ denotes the restriction of $f$ to $K$.
We denote by $A(K)$ the class of all functions continuous on $K$ and analytic at its interior points. The symbol $\operatorname{Har}(K)$ will be used for the similar class of all real-valued functions continuous on $K$ and harmonic at its interior points.

Furthermore, $c, c_{1}, \ldots$ denote positive constants, in general different at different occurrences and depending only on numbers that are not significant for the questions of interest. We shall also employ the symbols $a \preccurlyeq b$, denoting that $a \leqslant c b$, and $a \asymp b$, if simultaneously $a \preccurlyeq b$ and $b \preccurlyeq a$.

At last we need the notion of the second modulus of smoothness of a function $f \in A(K)$, which for our purposes is convenient to define as

$$
\omega_{2}(f, \delta):=\sup _{z \in K} \inf _{p \in \mathbb{P}_{1}} \sup _{\zeta \in K \cap \overline{D(z, \delta)}}|f(\zeta)-p(\zeta)| \quad(\delta>0)
$$

(see [4, 12 and 14]). Denote by $H_{2}^{1}(K, c)$ the class of all functions $f \in A(K)$ for which

$$
\omega_{2}(f, \delta) \leqslant c \delta \quad(\delta>0)
$$

## 3. SOME AUXILIARY RESULTS

For the proof of the theorem we need two special results concerning the properties of harmonic and analytic functions in domains with a piecewise smooth boundary.

Let the boundary $\Gamma=\partial G$ of a Jordan domain $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ consist of a finite number of $C^{2+}$-smooth arcs $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}$ which meet at the points $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}$ under the angles $\alpha_{j} \pi$ (with respect to $G$ ), $0<\alpha_{j}<1$.

Let $g$ be a real continuous function on $\Gamma$. We use the same symbol for the harmonic extension of $g$ to $G$. Further, we assume that $\left.g\right|_{\Gamma} \in H^{1}(\Gamma, 1)$. Hence, comparing, for example, [6, Theorem 1] and [4, Theorem 3] we see that the analytic completion of $g$ in $G$, i.e., the analytic function $h$ satisfying $g=\operatorname{Re} h$ throughout $G$, can be extended continuously to $\Gamma$.

Even in the case of the unit disk the function $h$ does not necessarily belong to $H^{1}(\bar{G}, c)$. However, we below establish a little weaker statement by using the notion of the second modulus of smoothness. This generalizes classical results of Hardy-Littlewood and Zygmund to piecewise $C^{2+}$ smooth curves, and is thus of independent interest.

Lemma 1. Let $G, g$, and $h$ be as above. Then $h \in H_{2}^{1}(\bar{G}, c)$ with some $c=c(G)$.

Proof. According to [4, Theorem 2] we only need to verify the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)\right| \preccurlyeq 1 / d(\zeta, \Gamma) \quad(\zeta \in G) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to do this we introduce a conformal mapping $\varphi$ of $G$ onto $D$ and set $w:=\varphi(\zeta), \psi:=\varphi^{-1}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{g}(\tau):=(g \circ \psi)(\tau) & (\tau \in \bar{D}), \\
\tilde{h}(\tau):=(h \circ \psi)(\tau) & (\tau \in \bar{D}) .
\end{array}
$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $\frac{1}{2}<|w|<1$.
Next, we estimate from above the moduli of the first two derivatives of $\tilde{h}$ at $w$.

By Schwarz's formula,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{h}^{\prime}(w)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|\tau|=1} \frac{\tilde{g}(\tau)-\tilde{g}\left(w^{\prime}\right)}{(\tau-w)^{2}} d \tau,  \tag{3.2}\\
& \tilde{h}^{\prime \prime}(w)=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{|\tau|=1} \frac{\tilde{g}(\tau)-\tilde{g}\left(w^{\prime}\right)}{(\tau-w)^{3}} d \tau, \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $w^{\prime}:=w /|w|$.
For definiteness, let $z_{1}$ denote the corner of $\Gamma$ closest to $\zeta$, i.e.,

$$
\left|\zeta-z_{1}\right|=\min _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|\zeta-z_{j}\right| .
$$

Setting $\alpha:=\alpha_{1}(<1)$, we obtain by classical results due to Warschawski (cf. [11, Chap. 3])

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(\zeta)\right| & \asymp\left|\zeta-z_{1}\right|^{1 / \alpha-1},  \tag{3.4}\\
\left|\varphi^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)\right| & \preccurlyeq\left|\zeta-z_{1}\right|^{1 / \alpha-2},  \tag{3.5}\\
1-|w| & \asymp\left|\zeta-z_{1}\right|^{1 / \alpha-1} d(\zeta, \Gamma),  \tag{3.6}\\
\left|w-\tau_{1}\right| & \asymp\left|\zeta-z_{1}\right|^{1 / \alpha}, \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tau_{1}:=\varphi\left(z_{1}\right)$.
The next inequality is an immediate consequence of the distortion properties of the function $\varphi$ : For $\tau \in \partial D$ and $z:=\psi(\tau)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|\zeta-z|}{d(\zeta, \Gamma)} \leqslant\left|\frac{w-\tau}{w-w^{\prime}}\right| . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, first note that by (3.6) and (3.7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\zeta, \Gamma) \asymp\left|w-w^{\prime}\right|\left|w-\tau_{1}\right|^{\alpha-1} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we consider two cases.
(a) Let $|w-\tau| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left|w-\tau_{1}\right|$. Then

$$
|\zeta-z| \asymp|w-\tau|\left|w-\tau_{1}\right|^{\alpha-1}
$$

which taking into account (3.9) implies (3.8).
(b) Let $|w-\tau|>\frac{1}{2}\left|w-\tau_{1}\right|$. Then by (3.7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\zeta-z| & \leqslant\left|\zeta-z_{1}\right|+\left|z_{1}-z\right| \asymp\left|w-\tau_{1}\right|^{\alpha}+\left|\tau_{1}-\tau\right|^{\alpha} \\
& \asymp|w-\tau|^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{|\zeta-z|}{d(\zeta, \Gamma)} \preccurlyeq \frac{|w-\tau|^{\alpha}}{\left|w-w^{\prime}\right|\left|w-\tau_{1}\right|^{\alpha-1}} \preccurlyeq\left|\frac{w-\tau}{w-w^{\prime}}\right|,
$$

and we obtain (3.8), too.
Set $\zeta^{\prime}:=\psi\left(w^{\prime}\right)$. For the function $\tilde{g}$ and $\tau \in \partial D$ we write according to (3.8)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\tilde{g}(\tau)-\tilde{g}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right| & =\left|g(z)-g\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant\left|z-\zeta^{\prime}\right| \\
& \preccurlyeq|\zeta-z| \preccurlyeq d(\zeta, \Gamma) \frac{|w-\tau|}{1-|w|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by (3.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widetilde{h}^{\prime \prime}(w)\right| \preccurlyeq \frac{d(\zeta, \Gamma)}{1-|w|} \int_{|\tau|=1} \frac{|d \tau|}{|\tau-w|^{2}} \preccurlyeq \frac{d(\zeta, \Gamma)}{(1-|w|)^{2}} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate $\left|\widetilde{h}^{\prime}(w)\right|$ from above, we divide the unit circle $J:=\partial D$ into two parts, setting

$$
J_{1}:=\left\{\tau \in J:|\tau-w| \leqslant 2\left|w-\tau_{1}\right|\right\}, \quad J_{2}:=J \backslash J_{1} .
$$

Further, by virtue of (3.6) and (3.7)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{J_{1}} \frac{\tilde{g}(t)-\tilde{g}\left(w^{\prime}\right)}{(\tau-w)^{2}} d \tau\right| & \preccurlyeq \frac{d(\zeta, \Gamma)}{1-|w|} \int_{J_{1}} \frac{|d \tau|}{|\tau-w|} \\
& \preccurlyeq \frac{d(\zeta, \Gamma)\left|w-\tau_{1}\right|}{(1-|w|)^{2}} \asymp \frac{\left|\zeta-z_{1}\right|}{1-|w|} . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Since for $\tau \in J_{2}$ by (3.7)

$$
\left|\frac{\zeta^{\prime}-z}{\zeta-z_{1}}\right| \asymp\left|\frac{z-z_{1}}{\zeta-z_{1}}\right| \asymp\left|\frac{\tau-\tau_{1}}{w-\tau_{1}}\right|^{\alpha}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{J_{2}} \frac{\tilde{g}(\tau)-\tilde{g}\left(w^{\prime}\right)}{(\tau-w)^{2}} d \tau\right| & \leqslant\left|\zeta-z_{1}\right| \int_{J_{2}}\left|\frac{z-\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta-z_{1}}\right| \frac{|d \tau|}{|\tau-w|^{2}} \\
& \asymp\left|\zeta-z_{1}\right|\left|w-\tau_{1}\right|^{-\alpha} \int_{J_{2}} \frac{|d \tau|}{\left|\tau-\tau_{1}\right|^{2-\alpha}} \preccurlyeq\left|\frac{\zeta-z_{1}}{w-\tau_{1}}\right| . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, combining (3.2), (3.11), and (3.12) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widetilde{h}^{\prime}(w)\right| \preccurlyeq \frac{\left|\zeta-z_{1}\right|}{1-|w|} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (3.4)-(3.6), (3.10), (3.13), and the obvious relation

$$
h^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)=\tilde{h}^{\prime}(w) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)+\tilde{h}^{\prime \prime}(w)\left(\varphi^{\prime}(\zeta)\right)^{2}
$$

we get (3.1). The proof is complete.
In the sequel, we shall also make use of the following elementary statement.

Lemma 2. Let $G$, $g$, and $h$ be as above, and let $\gamma_{1} \subset \gamma_{2}$ be subarcs of $\Gamma$ whose end points do not coincide. If $g(z)=0$ for $z \in \gamma_{2}$, then for any $\zeta_{1}$, $\zeta_{2} \in \gamma_{1}$ the inequality

$$
\left|h\left(\zeta_{1}\right)-h\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant c\left|\zeta_{1}-\zeta_{2}\right|
$$

holds with some constant $c=c\left(G, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$.
Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 1 we consider the conformal mapping $\varphi$ and the two auxiliary functions $\tilde{g}$ and $\tilde{h}$. Since by our assumption all angles of $\Gamma$ are $<\pi$, we have for arbitrary points $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2} \in \Gamma$

$$
\left|\varphi\left(\zeta_{1}\right)-\varphi\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right| \preccurlyeq\left|\zeta_{1}-\zeta_{2}\right| .
$$

Let now $\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2} \in \gamma_{1}, w_{j}:=\varphi\left(\zeta_{j}\right), j=1,2$. A simple computation involving Schwarz's formula shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|h\left(\zeta_{1}\right)-h\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right| & =\left|\widetilde{h}\left(w_{1}\right)-\widetilde{h}\left(w_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leqslant \frac{\left|w_{1}-w_{2}\right|}{\pi} \int_{\partial D \backslash \varphi\left(\gamma_{2}\right)} \frac{|\tilde{g}(\tau)||d \tau|}{\left|\tau-w_{1}\right|\left|\tau-w_{2}\right|} \preccurlyeq\left|w_{1}-w_{2}\right| \preccurlyeq\left|\zeta_{1}-\zeta_{2}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

It is sufficient to establish (2.2) only for $f \in H^{1}(L, 1)$. Indeed, if this particular case is proved, then for an arbitrary real-valued function $f \in C^{\omega}(L)$ we may reason as follows.

It is well known (cf. [13]) that the function $f$ can be extended to $\mathbb{C}$ in such a way that the extended function, also denoted by $f$, belongs to the class $C^{c o}(\mathbb{C})$ with some constant $c \geqslant 1$ depending only on $c_{1}$ from (2.1).

Consider the function

$$
f_{n}(z):=\frac{n^{2}}{\pi} \iint_{D(z, 1 / n)} f(\zeta) d \xi d \eta \quad(\zeta=\xi+i \eta, z \in \mathbb{C})
$$

It is a known fact (see, for example, [5, Chap. IX]) that $f_{n} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{C}, c_{2} \omega(1 / n) n\right)$ with $c_{2}$ depending on $c$ from above and $c_{1}$ from (2.1).

Moreover,

$$
\left|f_{n}(z)-f(z)\right| \leqslant c \omega(1 / n) \quad(z \in \mathbb{C})
$$

Therefore, the polynomial approximation of the function

$$
\left.\frac{f_{n}}{c_{2} n \omega(1 / n)}\right|_{L} \in H^{1}(L, 1)
$$

on $L$ up to $c_{3} / n$, multiplied by $c_{2} n \omega(1 / n)$, will approximate the function $f$ within $\left(c+c_{2} c_{3}\right) \omega(1 / n)$.

Thus, we henceforth assume that $f \in H^{1}(L, 1)$.
We recall that $L$ consists of a finite number of $C^{2+}$-smooth $\operatorname{arcs} l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}$ with end points $z_{0}, \ldots, z_{k}$, respectively.

Fix some arcs $\gamma_{j} \subset l_{j}$ with end points $\zeta_{j}^{\prime}$ and $\zeta_{j}^{\prime \prime}$, not coinciding with $z_{j-1}$ and $z_{j}$, and such that $\zeta_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ lies on $l_{j}$ between $\zeta_{j}^{\prime}$ and $z_{j}$.

Using intermediate polynomial interpolation of the function $f$ at the points $\left\{\zeta_{j}^{\prime}, \zeta_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right\}_{j=1}^{k}$ we reduce our problem to the approximation of a function $f$ satisfying the following additional conditions:

$$
f\left(\zeta_{j}^{\prime}\right)=f\left(\zeta_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0 \quad(j=1, \ldots, k)
$$

Below, we intend to use already known facts about polynomial approximation of functions on compact sets in $\mathbb{C}$ (presented, for example, in [5]). With respect to this theory, piecewise smooth arcs have in some sense an extremely bad structure, which is one of the main reasons why such arcs do not possess the $(J)$-property.

In the case of approximation by harmonic polynomials we are going to avoid this difficulty by presenting $f$ as a sum of two functions. One of them will vanish identically in the neighborhood of $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k-1}$, and the other will be extended harmonically to some auxiliary continuum $K \supset L$.

Namely, if the angle between $l_{j}$ and $l_{j+1}$ is not equal to $\pi$, we join the points $\zeta_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\zeta_{j+1}^{\prime}$ by a $C^{2+}$-smooth arc $s_{j} \subset \mathbb{C} \backslash L$ such that
(i) $s_{j}$ and $l_{j} \cup l_{j+1}$ have the same tangents at points $\zeta_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\zeta_{j+1}^{\prime}$;
(ii) $s_{j}$ together with the two subarcs of $l_{j}$ and $l_{j+1}$, respectively, make up the boundary of some finite Jordan domain $e_{j} \subset \mathbb{C} \backslash L$ such that, with
respect to this domain, $\partial e_{j}$ has zero angles at $\zeta_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\zeta_{j+1}^{\prime}$, and the angle $<\pi$ at $z_{j}$;
(iii) $\bar{e}_{j} \cap \bar{e}_{m}=\varnothing$ if $j \neq m$.

Consider the continuum

$$
K:=L \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \overline{e_{j}},
$$

where we set $e_{j}:=\varnothing$ in the case when the angle between $l_{j}$ and $l_{j+1}$ is equal to $\pi$.

Next, we cite, in a form convenient for us, two results concerning the polynomial approximation of harmonic and analytic functions on continua with a piecewise smooth boundary.

It is well known that the rate of polynomial approximation of functions from the classes $A(K)$ and $\operatorname{Har}(K)$ depends essentially on the metric properties of the conformal mapping $\Phi$ of the domain $\Omega:=\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash K$ onto $\Delta:=\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \bar{D}$, where $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}:=\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ denotes the Riemann sphere, and $\Phi$ is normalized in such a way that $\Phi(\infty)=\infty, \Phi^{\prime}(\infty)>0$.

Metric properties of the function $\Phi$ for the case of continua with a piecewise smooth boundary have also been exhaustively investigated (see, for example, [11, Chap. 3]).

Hence, we see that the continuum $K$ constructed above belongs, in the terminology of [5], for some fixed $m=m(K) \in \mathbb{N}$ to the class $B_{m}^{0}$ and, in the terminology of [3], to the class $H^{*}$. Moreover, for $z \in L$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1+1 / n}(z):=\operatorname{dist}\left(z,\left\{\zeta:|\Phi(\zeta)|=1+\frac{1}{n}\right\}\right) \preccurlyeq \frac{1}{n} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account results of [3] and (4.1) we get the following assertion.
Lemma 3. For any $g \in H^{1}(K, 1) \cap \operatorname{Har}(K)$ and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
E_{n, \Delta}(g, K) \leqslant c / n, \quad c=c(K) .
$$

Concerning approximation by analytic polynomials we need the result involving the notion of the second modulus of smoothness of a function $h \in A(K)$. Inequality (4.1) and the appropriate results of Dzyadyk [5, p. 443] and Shevchuk [12] allow us to formulate the following statement.

Lemma 4. For any $h \in H_{2}^{1}(K, 1) \cap A(K)$ and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
E_{n}(h, K) \leqslant c / n, \quad c=(K) .
$$

Next, we introduce the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(z):= \begin{cases}f(z), & \text { if } \quad z \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_{j} \\
0, & \text { if } \quad z \in K \backslash \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_{j} .\end{cases} \\
& f_{2}(z):=f(z)-f_{1}(z) \quad(z \in L) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f_{1} \in H^{1}(K, c)$, by Lemma 3

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n,\lrcorner}\left(f_{1}, K\right) \preccurlyeq 1 / n, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have to concentrate our efforts on the approximation of the function $f_{2}$.

Our next purpose is to construct a function $h$ with the properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \in A(K) \cap H_{2}^{1}(K, c),\left.\quad \operatorname{Re} h\right|_{L}=f_{2} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To do this, we join the points $z_{j-1}$ and $z_{j+1}$ (of course for $j$ with $e_{j} \neq \varnothing$ ) by some $C^{2+}$-smooth arc $S_{j} \subset \Omega$ in such a way that a Jordan curve $R_{j}:=S_{j} \cup l_{j} \cup l_{j+1}$ forms the boundary of a domain $E_{j} \supset e_{j}$ and $R_{j}$ makes at the points $z_{j-1}, z_{j}$, and $z_{j+1}$ inner angles $<\pi$ with respect to $E_{j}$.

Consider the function

$$
f_{2, j}(z):= \begin{cases}f_{2}(z), & \text { if } \quad z \in \partial E_{j} \cap \partial e_{j}, \\ 0, & \text { if } \quad z \in \partial E_{j} \backslash \partial e_{j} .\end{cases}
$$

We extend this function harmonically to $E_{j}$ and denote by $h_{j} \in A\left(\bar{E}_{j}\right)$ the function satisfying $f_{2, j}=\operatorname{Re} h_{j}$ in $E_{j}$.

According to Lemma $1, h_{j} \in H_{2}^{1}\left(\bar{E}_{j}, c\right)$. Moreover, if $\zeta_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime} \in \gamma_{j}$ is any fixed point, then, by virtue of Lemma 2, we can say even more about the smoothness of $h_{j}$ in the neighborhood of $\zeta_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime}$,

$$
\left.h_{j}\right|_{l_{j} \cap \overline{D\left(\zeta_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \varepsilon\right)}} \in H^{1}\left(l_{j} \cap \overline{D\left(\zeta_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \varepsilon\right)}, c\right)
$$

for sufficiently small $\varepsilon=\varepsilon\left(\zeta_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)>0$.
Since the functions $h_{j}$ are defined up to an imaginary constant, we can adapt these constants so that the function

$$
h(z):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h_{j}(z), \quad \text { if } \quad z \in \bar{e}_{j} \cup L\left(\zeta_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \zeta_{j+1}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right), e_{j} \neq \varnothing \\
\text { piecewise imaginary constant elsewhere on } L,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $L\left(\zeta_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \zeta_{j+1}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ denotes the subarc of $L$ between the respective points, belongs to the class $H_{2}^{1}(K, c)$.

Hence, we have constructed the desired function $h$ satisfying (4.3), and using Lemma 4 we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n,\lrcorner}\left(f_{2}, L\right) \leqslant E_{n}(h, K) \preccurlyeq 1 / n . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (4.2) and (4.4), we get (2.2).
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